

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,417		09/27/2001	Richard Charles Allen	56233US002	3811
32692	7590	06/03/2005		EXAMINER	
		E PROPERTIES CO	VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
PO BOX 3 ST. PAUL		5133-3427		ART UNIT PAPE	
				1732	
				DATE MAIL ED. 06/02/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u></u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/965,417	ALLEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address ,	i			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 'HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			1			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 №</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under №	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyant ction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 1732

1.Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims are rejected for reasons of record as set forth in paragraph 1 of the previous action. Again, while the specification teaches rotation at some desired angle between 0 and 90 degrees or some other angle as desired, there is no literal support for " a fixed angle of at least 5 degrees" and applicant has not pointed out in the specification where such exists. Also, why pick 5 degrees when the specification is silent as to what specific angle the light is rotated? It is submitted that applicant is employing language which is not supported by the original specification in an attempt to "get around" the applied art.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British Patent Application 2,326,727 in view of PCT Publication WO 98/04651 for reasons of record.

3.Claims 15-17, 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British Patent Application 2,326,727 in view of British Patent Application 2,324,881 and PCT Publication WO 98/054651 for reasons of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,417

Art Unit: 1732

4.Applicant's arguments filed March 4, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It should be noted that the instant claims are simply directed to forming a film and it is believed that the prior art applied is directed to doing the same thing. The cell wall of the spatial light modulator of the primary reference is in fact a film, or is clearly capable of being considered as such, at least in the same manner as the instant film would be a film. While the polarization effects of the liquid crystal layer of the primary reference nay "normally be intended to vary", such is not persuasive of error in the rejection. Simply because something normally is varied or might be varied does not mean it has to be varied, and such variance would presumably depend on exactly how the spatial light modulator will be used. This is the same rational as to why the amendment to the claims concerning a fixed angle of at least 5 degrees constitutes new matter.

5.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,417 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

6.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on 571 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot May 31, 2005 M. Vugat Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

5/31/05